

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/073,546	02/11/2002	Jenny M. Pelner	884.616US1	5100	
21186	7590 05/03/20	5	EXAMINER		
	MAN, LUNDBERG	DUNCAN, MARC M			
P.O. BOX 29 MINNEAPO	938 DLIS, MN 55402-09	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2113		
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A	oplication No.	Applicant(s)			
	10	0/073,546	PELNER, JENNY M.			
Office Action Summa	ary E	caminer	Art Unit			
	· Ma	arc M. Duncan	2113			
The MAILING DATE of this co Period for Reply	mmunication appears	s on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER THE MAILING DATE OF THIS CON  - Extensions of time may be available under the p after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of t  - If the period for reply specified above is less that  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the may  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.7	MMUNICATION. rovisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). his communication. n thirty (30) days, a reply with ximum statutory period will ap for reply will, by statute, caus months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a in the statutory minimum of thiply and will expire SIX (6) MOIse the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status			•			
1) Responsive to communication	n(s) filed on <u>11 Febru</u>	ary 2005.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL.	2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-15,17-24 and 26-33</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-15,17-24,26-30 and 33</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31 and 32</u> is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to	restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to	by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>11 Feb</u>	o <u>ruary 2002</u> is/are: a	)⊠ accepted or b)□	objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that ar	ny objection to the draw	ving(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) in	cluding the correction i	s required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is obje	cted to by the Exami	ner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a	claim for foreign pric	ority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None	e of:					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the p</li> </ol>	priority documents ha	ve been received.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	•		received in this National Stage			
application from the Inte	•	• • • •				
* See the attached detailed Office	e action for a list of th	ne certified copies not	received.			
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	•		Summary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Re</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-</li> </ul>			s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	1449 OF F TO/30/00)	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action	Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 1			

### **FINAL ACTION**

#### Status of the Claims

Claims 2-8, 17, 26 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

Claims 24-30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter

Claims 1, 3-15, 17-24 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolff.

Claims 31-32 are objected to.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2-8, 17, 26 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Each of claims 2-8, 17 and 26 depend from a non-existent claim.

Claim 33 recites the limitation "the computer system" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Art Unit: 2113

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 24-30 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The medium is not computer-readable and the instructions are not executing on a computer or processor. See MPEP 2106.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-15, 17-24 and 26-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wolff.

Regarding claim 1:

Wolff teaches starting a computer system comprising at least one component in Fig. 2A.

Wolff teaches determining whether the at least one component has previously been successfully tested in Fig. 2B, col. 2 lines 65-67 and col. 3 lines 15-18.

Wolff teaches if not, testing the at least one component in Fig. 2B.

Wolff teaches otherwise, not testing the at least one component, wherein if the at least one component is successfully tested, permanently storing an indication that the at

least one component has been successfully tested in Fig. 2B, col. 2 lines 65-67, col. 3 lines 15-18 and col. 4 lines 28-34. Wolff teaches storing the successful test indication in a ROM, which the examiner determined represents permanently storing the memory. While the indication may be altered for a short period to perform a field test, the same indication is always stored when the test is successful and is therefore permanent under a reasonable definition of the term as accepted by those of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 3:

Wolff teaches wherein the indication comprises a predetermined bit pattern in Fig. 2B. A signature is a predetermined bit pattern.

Regarding claim 4:

Wolff teaches wherein determining further comprises checking for the predetermined bit pattern in Fig. 2B.

Regarding claim 5:

Wolff teaches if such predetermined bit pattern is present: booting the computer system in Fig. 2B.

Regarding claim 6:

Wolff teaches if such predetermined bit pattern is present: determining whether a field test is ordered, and if so, testing the at least one component, and otherwise, booting the computer system in Fig. 2B and col. 4 line 63-col. 5 line 6.

Regarding claim 7:

Wolff teaches after testing: determining whether the test was successful; and if so, providing an indication that the at least one component has been tested, and

otherwise, providing an error indication in col. 4 line 63-col. 5 line 6 and col. 5 lines 63-67. Leaving the test signature in the bootmode signature area comprises an error indication.

Regarding claim 8:

Wolff teaches after storing: restarting the computer system in col. 4 lines 46-49 and col. 5 lines 60-63.

Regarding claim 9:

Wolff teaches if the at least one component is tested: determining whether the test was successful, and if so, storing an indication that the at least one component has been tested, and otherwise, providing an error indication in col. 4 line 63-col. 5 line 6 and col. 5 lines 57-67. Leaving the test signature in the bootmode signature area comprises an error indication.

Regarding claim 10:

Wolff teaches if the at least one component is not tested: booting the computer system in Fig. 2B.

Regarding claim 11:

Wolff teaches wherein booting comprises: initializing the at least one component; and loading a portion of an operating system into memory in Fig. 2B.

Regarding claim 12:

Wolff teaches wherein determining further comprises checking for the presence of a test to test the at least one component in Fig. 2B and col. 4 line 63-col. 5 line 6.

Regarding claim 13:

Application/Control Number: 10/073,546 Page 6

Art Unit: 2113

Wolff teaches if such a test is not present: booting the computer system in Fig. 2B.

Regarding claim 14:

Wolff teaches wherein checking comprises checking for the presence of a predetermined bit pattern in col. 4 line 63-col. 5 line 6.

Regarding claim 15:

Wolff teaches upon receiving a command to start the computing device, determining whether the at least one component has previously been successfully tested in Fig. 2B, col. 2 lines 65-67 and col. 3 lines 15-18.

Wolff teaches if not, testing the at least one component in Fig. 2B.

Wolff teaches otherwise, not testing the at least one component, wherein if the at least one component is successfully tested, permanently storing an indication that the at least one component has been successfully tested in Fig. 2B, col. 2 lines 65-67, col. 3 lines 15-18 and col. 4 lines 28-34. Wolff teaches storing the successful test indication in a ROM, which the examiner determined represents permanently storing the memory. While the indication may be altered for a short period to perform a field test, the same indication is always stored when the test is successful and is therefore permanent under a reasonable definition of the term as accepted by those of ordinary skill in the art.

Regarding claim 17:

Wolff teaches after storing: restarting the computing device in col. 4 lines 46-49 and col. 5 lines 60-63.

Regarding claim 18:

Wolff teaches if the at least one component is tested: determining whether the test was successful, and if so, storing an indication that the at least one component has been tested, and otherwise, providing an error indication in col. 4 line 63-col. 5 line 6 and col. 5 lines 57-67. Leaving the test signature in the bootmode signature area comprises an error indication.

Regarding claim 19:

Wolff teaches if the at least one component is not tested: booting the computing device in Fig. 2B.

Regarding claim 20:

Wolff teaches wherein, in booting, the at least one component is initialized, and a portion of an operating system is loaded into memory in Fig. 2B.

Regarding claim 21:

Wolff teaches wherein, in determining, a check is made for the presence of a test to test the at least one component in Fig. 2B and col. 4 line 63-col. 5 line 6.

Regarding claim 22:

Wolff teaches if such a test is not present, booting the computer system in Fig. 2B.

Regarding claim 23:

Wolff teaches wherein, in checking, a check is made for the presence of a predetermined bit pattern in col. 4 line 63-col. 5 line 6.

Regarding claim 24:

Application/Control Number: 10/073,546

Art Unit: 2113

The claim is rejected as the computer readable medium with computer executable instructions that, when executed, cause the method of claim 1 to be performed.

Regarding claim 26:

The claim is rejected as the computer readable medium with computer executable instructions that, when executed, cause the method of claim 8 to be performed.

Regarding claim 27:

The claim is rejected as the computer readable medium with computer executable instructions that, when executed, cause the method of claim 9 to be performed.

Regarding claim 28:

The claim is rejected as the computer readable medium with computer executable instructions that, when executed, cause the method of claim 10 to be performed.

Regarding claim 29:

The claim is rejected as the computer readable medium with computer executable instructions that, when executed, cause the method of claim 11 to be performed.

Regarding claim 30:

Art Unit: 2113

The claim is rejected as the computer readable medium with computer executable instructions that, when executed, cause the method of claim 13 to be performed.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 31-32 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/073,546 Page 10

Art Unit: 2113

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc M. Duncan whose telephone number is 571-272-3646. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Beausoliel can be reached on 571-272-3645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

md

ROBERT BEAUSOLIEL
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100